

City of Bayfield Parking Committee Minutes

December 8, 2020

Call to Order: 7:31 am by Chairman Matt Carrier via GoToMeeting

Roll Call: Matt Carrier, Joanne Cirillo, Gordon Ringberg, David Eades, Tom Kovachevich, John Fangman, Bet Bodin, Bryce Albrecht, Sarah Mather, Bob Zawislak

Approve Agenda: Ringberg/ Fangman

Approve Minutes of November 16, 2020: Ringberg/ Eades.

Public Input: Kovachevich mentioned that open meeting laws are being violated with three voting members of the Common Council on this committee. Mather will talk with Clerk Hoopman about the best route of action.

Agenda:

1). Establish Committee:

- a). Welcome new member Bob Zawislak to the committee—newer to the area and ready to be involved!
- b) Correspondence with Jennifer Albrecht over parking concerns. Letter was emailed to all members.

2). Accessing Potential Revenue: Working definitions were provided in the packet.

- a). Review street parking area: 3rd to Front and Wilson to Washington would be the encompassing area for paid parking. Should Wilson only have parking on one side? Gets congested in the summer with boats and trailers. Discussion.
- b). Review paid parking lots: The City only owns so many lots. Galazen lot is used the summer—would that be best for oversized vehicles. Even if City doesn't act to purchase that lot will people still park there? Then what? Would purchasing that lot to make it a parking lot remove potential tax revenue from future investors? We should see how much space we want to provide for these types of vehicles first.
- c). Review employee parking policy: Currently none in place. Should they park outside of the parking area? 4th street? Wilson? Create designated area for employees to park for limited or no fee and if they want to park closer they will need to pay the full amount. Could create some disincentive for working downtown
- d). Identify oversized vehicle zones: see 2-b.
- e). Identify long term/ overnight parking zones: Where should/ could it be allowed?
- f). Identify free parking zones: Potential for 15 minute time zones at Post Office or grocery store.

3). Accessing Potential Expenditures:

- a). Enforcement update: No update from Fangman—will provide at next meeting.
- b). Improvements: Rough ball park estimate—shouldn't be much if it's just labor costs.

4). Street Zones: Should we keep time limits on streets with paid parking?

- a). Rittenhouse: 2 hour limit. Provides for turnover on the street so that the same vehicle isn't parked in front of the same building all day. Albrecht does not see a difference—if the person is paying for the whole day why should it matter what vehicle is parked there?
- b). Front Street: Should we move to 3 (+) hour parking or keep it at 2 hours?
- c). All other streets: Wouldn't allow for much turn over, but people could park longer

5). How to regulate Parking zones?

- a). Hourly Limit: See above.
- b). Premium rates: Higher rates on Front and Rittenhouse would provide for more turnover. Locals

would not be able to use discounted pass in premium areas. What about Island workers or tourists?
Should investigate out of downtown parking and a transit system into town—long term plan

6). Parking Companies:

- a). Passport Parking: Mobile based company. Options with Google Maps. Better Enforcement. User fee.
- b). Park Mobile: Mobile based company. Most used across the nation. User Fee.
- c). IPS Parking: Meter based company. Meters are \$6-8k each. Move away from this system.

Mather will get in touch with Passport Parking and Park Mobile in order to get some quotes as to what each company would offer in order to weight pros and cons of each company.

7). Other: Kurt Basnia is still interested in being on the committee but was not able to make it to this meeting. Discussion heavily ensued. **Eades motioned to add Basina to the committee seconded by Kovachevich. Carried.**

Adjournment 9:10 am Ringberg/ Fangman.

Next Meeting: Jan 12, 2021 7:30 am via GoToMeeting.